CSCE 658: Randomized Algorithms

Lecture 16

Samson Zhou

Relevant Supplementary Material

 Chapter 29 in "Introduction to Algorithms", by Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest, and Clifford Stein

• Chapters 5.1-5.5 in "The Design of Approximation Algorithms", by David P. Williamson and David B. Shmoys

Linear Programming (Standard Form)

• Maximize a linear objective function:

$$c^{\top}x = \langle c, x \rangle, \ c, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

• Subject to constraints:

 $Ax \leq b$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $x \geq 0$ (entry-wise non-negativity)

Max s - t Flow in a Directed Graph

- Input: A directed graph G = (V, E), capacities $c_{(u,v)}$ for each edge $(u, v) \in E$, source vertex s, and sink vertex t
- A *flow* is assignment of weights to edges so that:
 - Capacity constraint: the flow of an edge does not exceed its capacity
 - Conservation of flow: sum of flows entering a node equals sum of flows exiting a node, except for *s* and *t*
- Goal: Route as much flow as possible from s to t

Max s - t Flow in a Directed Graph



Max s - t Flow in a Directed Graph



Linear Program for Max s - t Flow

• What variables do we want?

- Flow $f_{(u,v)}$ for each edge (u, v)
- What constraints do we want?
- Capacity constraint, conservation of flow

Linear Program for Max s - t Flow

• Maximize:
$$\sum_{v:(s,v)\in E} f_{(s,v)} - \sum_{v:(v,s)\in E} f_{(v,s)}$$

• Subject to:

 $f_{(u,v)} \ge 0 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E$ $f_{(u,v)} \le c_{(u,v)} \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E$ $\sum_{u:(u,v)\in E} f_{(u,v)} = \sum_{w:(v,w)\in E} f_{(v,u)} \text{ for all } v \neq s,t$

Dual Program for Max s - t Flow

• Minimize: $\sum_{v:(u,v)\in E} c_{(u,v)} d_{(u,v)}$, where $d_{(u,v)}$ indicates whether (u, v) crosses the cut, $c_{(u,v)}$ is the capacity of (u, v)

• Subject to: $d_{(u,v)} \ge 0 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E$ $d_{(u,v)} - z_u + z_v \ge 0 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E, u \neq s, v \neq t$ $d_{(s,v)} + z_v \ge 1 \text{ for all } (s,v) \in E$ $d_{(u,t)} - z_u \ge 0 \text{ for all } (u,t) \in E$

Cuts

• A cut $C = S_1, S_2$ of a graph G is a partition of the vertices V into a set S_1 and the remaining vertices $S_2 = V - S_1$

• An edge (u, v) crosses the cut C if $u \in S_1$ and $v \in S_2$

• The size of the cut *C* is the number of edges that cross *C*

Minimum *s* – *t* Cut

• The minimum cut of a graph is the size of the smallest cut across all pairs of sets of vertices S_1 and $S_2 = V - S_1$

• Find the minimum cut of a graph G that separates s and t

What is the minimum s - t cut of the graph?



What is the minimum s - t cut of the graph?



Linear Program for Min s - t Cut

• What variables do we want?

• Variables $d_{(u,v)}$ for each edge (u, v) indicating whether it crosses the cut

- Set $d_{(u,v)} \ge z_u z_v, z_v z_u$, where $z_u \in \{0,1\}$ indicates whether u is on the side of s
- Need $d_{(s,v)} \ge 1 z_v$, $d_{(u,t)} \ge z_u$

Linear Program for Min s - t Cut

• Minimize: $\sum_{v:(u,v)\in E} c_{(u,v)} d_{(u,v)}$, where $d_{(u,v)}$ indicates whether (u, v) crosses the cut, $c_{(u,v)}$ is the capacity of (u, v)

• Subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(u,v)} &\geq 0 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E \\ z_u \in \{0,1\} \text{ for all } u \in V \\ d_{(u,v)} - z_u + z_v &\geq 0 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E, u \neq s, v \neq t \\ d_{(s,v)} + z_v &\geq 1 \text{ for all } (s,v) \in E \\ d_{(u,t)} - z_u &\geq 0 \text{ for all } (u,t) \in E \end{aligned}$$

Dual Program for Max s - t Flow

• Minimize: $\sum_{v:(u,v)\in E} c_{(u,v)} d_{(u,v)}$, where $d_{(u,v)}$ indicates whether (u, v) crosses the cut, $c_{(u,v)}$ is the capacity of (u, v)

• Subject to: $d_{(u,v)} \ge 0 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E$ $d_{(u,v)} - z_u + z_v \ge 0 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E, u \neq s, v \neq t$ $d_{(s,v)} + z_v \ge 1 \text{ for all } (s,v) \in E$ $d_{(u,t)} - z_u \ge 0 \text{ for all } (u,t) \in E$

Min Cut-Max Flow Theorem?

• Recall: the max-flow min-cut theorem states the maximum flow through any graph between any fixed source and sink is exactly equal to the minimum cut

 However, the dual LP to the max-flow problem is a fractional problem, while the LP for the min-cut problem requires integral solutions

Linear Programming (Standard Form)

• Maximize a linear objective function:

$$c^{\top}x = \langle c, x \rangle, \ c, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

• Subject to constraints:

 $Ax \leq b$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $x \geq 0$ (entry-wise non-negativity)

Integer Linear Programming (Standard Form)

• Maximize a linear objective function:

$$c^{\top}x = \langle c, x \rangle, \ c \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

• Subject to constraints:

Ax + s = b for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $s, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $s, x \ge 0$ (entry-wise non-negativity)

Integer Linear Programming (Standard Form)

• Integer linear programming is NP-hard (solves vertex cover)

- When constraint is Ax = b, the matrix A and the vector b all have integer entries, and A is totally unimodular (every square submatrix has determinant -1,0,1), then the vertices of the LP polytope are integers
- Can use standard LP algorithms

MAX-SAT Revisited

• In the MAX-SAT problem, the input is a CNF formula $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ with m clauses $C_1, ..., C_m$

• The goal is to assign values to x_1, \dots, x_n to maximize the number of satisfied clauses

MAX-SAT Revisited

- Suppose we assign each variable x_i a separate random TRUE/FALSE value
- For each $i \in [m]$, we have $\Pr[C_i \text{ is FALSE}] \le 1/2$
- By a linearity of expectation, the expected number of satisfied clauses is at least m/2

• Random assignment gives (at least) a $\frac{1}{2}$ -approximation in expectation

Derandomization of MAX-SAT

• How to get an algorithm that achieves $\frac{1}{2}$?

- Method of conditional expectation
 - Set x_1 to be the value with the higher conditional expectation
 - Random assignment is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -approximation in expectation, so there is a value of x_1 that is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -approximation in expectation
 - Iterate

Better Algorithm for MAX-SAT

• First suppose there is no unit clause $\overline{x_i}$ (will remove assumption later)

• Set each x_i to be TRUE with probability p > 1/2 independently

Better Algorithm for MAX-SAT

- Claim: The probability that any given clause is satisfied is $\min(p, 1 p^2)$
- min $(p, 1 p^2)$ is maximized ≈ 0.618 for $p = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} 1)$
- If there is no unit clause $\overline{x_i}$, there is a ≈ 0.618 approximation algorithm for MAX-SAT

MAX-SAT Revisited (Integer Program)

- Maximize: $\sum_{j \in [m]} Z_j$
- Subject to:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i:x_i \in C_j} y_i + \sum_{i:\overline{x_i} \in C_j} (1 - y_i) \geq Z_j \text{ for all } j \in [m] \\ Z_j \in \{0,1\} \text{ for all } j \in [m] \\ y_i \in \{0,1\} \text{ for all } i \in [n] \end{split}$$

MAX-SAT Revisited (LP Relaxation)

- Maximize: $\sum_{j \in [m]} Z_j$
- Subject to:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i:x_i \in C_j} y_i + \sum_{i:\overline{x_i} \in C_j} (1 - y_i) \geq Z_j \text{ for all } j \in [m] \\ 0 \leq Z_j \leq 1 \text{ for all } j \in [m] \\ 0 \leq y_i \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \in [n] \end{split}$$

- Let y_i^* and z_j^* be the optimal solution to the LP relaxation
- Set $x_i = 1$ with probability y_i^*
- $\Pr[C_j \text{ is not satisfied}] = \prod_{i \in P_j} (1 y_i^*) \prod_{i \in N_j} y_i^*$, where we split clause C_j into positive literals P_j and negative literals N_j

 $\Pr[C_i \text{ is not satisfied}] = \prod_{i \in P_i} (1 - y_i^*) \prod_{i \in N_i} y_i^*$ $\leq \left[\frac{1}{|C_{i}|} \left(\sum_{i \in P_{j}} (1 - y_{i}^{*}) + \sum_{i \in N_{j}} y_{i}^{*}\right)\right]^{|C_{j}|}$ (AM-GM) $= \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_i|} \left(\sum_{i \in P_j} y_i^* + \sum_{i \in N_j} (1 - y_i^*)\right)\right]^{|C_j|}$ $= \left[1 - \frac{z_j^*}{|C_i|}\right]^{|C_j|}$

$$\Pr[C_{j} \text{ is satisfied}] \ge 1 - \left[1 - \frac{z_{j}^{*}}{|C_{j}|}\right]^{|C_{j}|}$$
$$(\text{concavity}) \ge 1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_{j}|}\right]^{|C_{j}|} z_{j}^{*}$$
$$\ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) z_{j}^{*}$$

- Let y_i^* and z_j^* be the optimal solution to the LP relaxation
- Set $x_i = 1$ with probability y_i^*

•
$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \approx 0.6321$$
-approximation algorithm

• Random assignment gives ≈ 0.618 -approximation

$$\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \ge \left(1 - 2^{-|C_j|}\right) \ge z_j^* \left(1 - 2^{-|C_j|}\right)$$

• Randomized rounding gives ≈ 0.6321 -approximation $\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \ge z_j^* \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_j|}\right]^{|C_j|} \right)$

• Random assignment gives ≈ 0.618 -approximation

$$\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \ge \left(1 - 2^{-|C_j|}\right) \ge z_j^* \left(1 - 2^{-|C_j|}\right)$$

• Randomized rounding gives ≈ 0.6321 -approximation

$$\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \ge z_j^* \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_j|}\right]^{|C_j|} \right)$$

• Random assignment gives ≈ 0.618 -approximation

$$\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \ge \left(1 - 2^{-|C_j|}\right) \ge z_j^* \left(1 - 2^{-|C_j|}\right)$$

• Randomized rounding gives ≈ 0.6321 -approximation

$$\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \ge z_j^* \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_j|}\right]^{|C_j|} \right)$$

• How do these behave across values of $|C_j|$?

• When
$$|C_j|$$
 is small, $(1 - 2^{-|C_j|})$ is small and $(1 - [1 - \frac{1}{|C_j|}]^{|C_j|})$ is large

• When
$$|C_j|$$
 is large, $(1 - 2^{-|C_j|})$ is large and $(1 - [1 - \frac{1}{|C_j|}]^{|C_j|})$ is small

 Run randomized rounding and random assignment and take the better of the two solutions

$$\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \ge z_j^* \max\left(\left(1 - 2^{-|C_j|}\right), \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_j|}\right]^{|C_j|}\right)\right)$$

• We have
$$\max(a, b) \ge \frac{a+b}{2}$$

 Run randomized rounding and random assignment and take the better of the two solutions

$$\Pr[C_{j} \text{ is satisfied}] \ge z_{j}^{*} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(1 - 2^{-|C_{j}|} \right) + \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_{j}|} \right]^{|C_{j}|} \right) \right)$$

• For $|C_{j}| = 1, \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(1 - 2^{-|C_{j}|} \right) + \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_{j}|} \right]^{|C_{j}|} \right) \right) = \frac{3}{4}$

 Run randomized rounding and random assignment and take the better of the two solutions

$$\Pr[C_{j} \text{ is satisfied}] \ge z_{j}^{*} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(1 - 2^{-|C_{j}|} \right) + \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_{j}|} \right]^{|C_{j}|} \right) \right)$$

• For $|C_{j}| = 2, \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(1 - 2^{-|C_{j}|} \right) + \left(1 - \left[1 - \frac{1}{|C_{j}|} \right]^{|C_{j}|} \right) \right) = \frac{3}{4}$



 Run randomized rounding and random assignment and take the better of the two solutions

$$\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot z_j^*$$

• By linearity of expectation, $\frac{3}{4}$ -approximation algorithm

Nonlinear Randomized Rounding for MAX-SAT

- Let y_i^* and z_j^* be the optimal solution to the LP relaxation
- Previously: Set $x_i = 1$ with probability y_i^*
- What if we set $x_i = 1$ with probability $f(y_i^*)$?
- $\Pr[C_j \text{ is not satisfied}] = \prod_{i \in P_j} (1 f(y_i^*)) \prod_{i \in N_j} f(y_i^*)$, where we split clause C_j into positive literals P_j and negative literals N_j

Nonlinear Randomized Rounding for MAX-SAT

- $\Pr[C_j \text{ is not satisfied}] = \prod_{i \in P_j} (1 f(y_i^*)) \prod_{i \in N_j} f(y_i^*)$
- Suppose we set $1 4^{-x} \le f(x) \le 4^{x-1}$
- $\Pr[C_j \text{ is not satisfied}] = \prod_{i \in P_j} 4^{-y_i^*} \prod_{i \in N_j} 4^{y_i^* 1}$ = $4^{-\left(\sum_{i \in P_j} y_i^* + \sum_{i \in N_j} (1 - y_i^*)\right)}$
- $\leq 4^{-z_j^*}$ • $\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \geq 1 - 4^{-z_j^*} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right) z_j^* = \frac{3}{4} z_j^*$

Nonlinear Randomized Rounding for MAX-SAT

- Let y_i^* and z_j^* be the optimal solution to the LP relaxation
- Set $x_i = 1$ with probability $f(y_i^*)$

• By linearity of expectation, $\frac{3}{4}$ -approximation algorithm