# CSCE 658: Randomized Algorithms

Lecture 2

Samson Zhou

# Last Time: Schwartz-Zippel Lemma

• [Schwartz-Zippel] Suppose *P* is a degree *d* polynomial in  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . Let  $r_1, ..., r_n$  be randomly drawn from  $\{1, 2, 3, ..., q\}$ . Then

$$\Pr[P(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0] \le \frac{\alpha}{q}$$

• Upshot: A random evaluation of a low-degree polynomial is unlikely to be zero

# Last Time: Equality Problem

• Alice is given a string A and Bob is given a string B, each of length n, and they must determine whether A = B, using the minimum amount of communication

• Any deterministic protocol must use  $\Omega(n)$  bits of communication, but there exists a randomized protocol that uses  $O(\log n)$  bits of communication

# Last Time: Equality Problem

• Algorithm: Suppose Alice and Bob have access to a randomly generated string  $x \in \{1,2,3, ..., q\}^n$ . Alice sends over Ax and Bob determines whether Ax = Bx

- If A = B, then Ax = Bx so the protocol succeeds
- If  $A \neq B$ , then what is the probability that  $Ax \neq Bx$ ?
- By Schwartz-Zippel, the probability that  $Ax \neq Bx$  is at least  $\frac{9}{10}$

- $f(x,y) = x^2 y^2$
- g(x,y) = (x+y)(x-y)
- Do we have  $f(x, y) \equiv g(x, y)$ ?

• 
$$f(x, y) = x^3 + 3xy + y^3 - 1$$
  
•  $g(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + y - 1)((x + 1)^2 + (y + 1)^2 + (x - y)^2)$ 

• Do we have  $f(x, y) \equiv g(x, y)$ ?

• 
$$f(x, y) = x^3 + 3xy + y^3 - 1$$
  
•  $g(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + y - 1)((x + 1)^2 + (y + 1)^2 + (x - y)^2)$ 

- Do we have  $f(x, y) \equiv g(x, y)$ ?
- Both are equal to  $h(x, y) = (x + y 1)(x^2 xy + y^2 + x + y + 1)$

- Efficiently determine whether polynomials of degree d satisfy  $f(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv g(x_1, ..., x_n)$
- Why not just expand the polynomials and see whether they are equal?

• How many terms can be in  $(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^d$ ?

- Efficiently determine whether polynomials of degree d satisfy  $f(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv g(x_1, ..., x_n)$
- Why not just expand the polynomials and see whether they are equal?

- How many terms can be in  $(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^d$ ?
- Can be as large as  $\binom{n}{d} \approx n^d$ , which can be exponential in size

- It suffices to determine if  $f(x_1, ..., x_n) g(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv 0$
- Determine whether a polynomial  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv 0$
- Checking if a polynomial is identically zero has a large number of applications!

# Graph Analysis

• Graphs can be represented via adjacency matrices

• The determinants of adjacency matrices (and other matrices) reveal information about the structural of the graph, e.g., whether the determinant is non-zero if and only if a bipartite graph has a perfect matching

• Determinants are polynomials!

# **Primality Checking**

• The polynomial  $P(z) \coloneqq (1+z)^n - 1 - z^n \pmod{n}$ is identically zero if and only if n is prime

- Determine whether a polynomial  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv 0$
- Expanding the polynomial can be slow, but evaluating the polynomial at any value of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  is efficient

• What should we do?

- Algorithm: Randomly pick values  $x_1 = r_1, ..., x_n = r_n$  and evaluate  $P(r_1, ..., r_n)$ .
  - If  $P(r_1, ..., r_n) = 0$ , return  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$
  - If  $P(r_1, \dots, r_n) \neq 0$ , return  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$

- Algorithm: Randomly pick values  $x_1 = r_1, ..., x_n = r_n$  and evaluate  $P(r_1, ..., r_n)$ .
  - If  $P(r_1, ..., r_n) = 0$ , return  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$
  - If  $P(r_1, \dots, r_n) \neq 0$ , return  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$
- If  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ , then the protocol succeeds
- If  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) \neq 0$ , what is the probability of  $P(r_1, ..., r_n) \neq 0$ ?

- If  $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ , then the protocol succeeds
- If  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ , what is the probability of  $P(r_1, \dots, r_n) \neq 0$ ?
- Suppose we choose *x<sub>i</sub>* randomly from {1, ..., *S*}
- By Schwartz-Zippel, the probability that  $P(r_1, ..., r_n) \neq 0$  is at least  $1 \frac{d}{s} \ge 0.9$  for  $S \ge 10d$

# Questions?



# Graph Theory

• Suppose we have a graph G with vertex set V and edge set E

• Let V = [n] for simplicity, so each vertex is an integer from 1 to n

- Then each edge  $e \in E$  can be written as e = (u, v) for  $u, v \in [n]$
- In other words, each edge is a pair of integers from 1 to n



### Cuts

• A cut  $C = S_1, S_2$  of a graph G is a partition of the vertices V into a set  $S_1$  and the remaining vertices  $S_2 = V - S_1$ 

• An edge (u, v) crosses the cut C if  $u \in S_1$  and  $v \in S_2$ 

• The size of the cut *C* is the number of edges that cross *C* 



What is the size of the cut  $C = S_1, S_2$ ?



What is the size of the cut  $C = S_1, S_2$ ?



What is the size of the cut  $C = S_1, S_2$ ?



# Minimum Cut

• The minimum cut of a graph is the size of the smallest cut across all pairs of sets of vertices  $S_1$  and  $S_2 = V - S_1$ 

• Find the minimum cut of a graph *G* 

#### What is the minimum cut of the graph?



#### What is the minimum cut of the graph?



- 1. Start with original graph and iteratively reduce the number of vertices via a series of edge contractions
- 2. In each step, choose a random edge and merge the two endpoints of that edge into a single vertex, preserving edges (allow multi-edges but not self-loops)
- 3. Iterate until there are only two vertices  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  left
- 4. Return the vertices merged into  $u_1$  as one set
- 5. Return the vertices merged into  $u_2$  as the other set





















Return  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ 

- Intuition: Suppose the graph is disconnected. Then we will ALWAYS return the correct min-cut
- Now suppose the graph consists of two components connected by a single. Algorithm is successful as long as it avoids selecting the single edge that crosses the two components
- Why? As long as it avoids the single edge, each edge contraction will just shrink one of the two components
- There is a good chance we never contract the single edge

- Analysis: Fix a min-cut  $C = S_1$ ,  $S_2$  with size k
- Probability that we contract an edge of C is  $\frac{k}{|E|}$ , where |E| is the number of edges
- Since the min-cut is k, then each vertex must have degree at least k so  $|E| \ge \frac{nk}{2}$
- The probability that we DO NOT contract an edge of C is at least  $1 \frac{k}{(nk/2)} = \frac{n-2}{n}$

- After *i* steps, the number of vertices left is n i, so the probability that we DO NOT contract an edge of *C* is at least  $\frac{n-i-2}{n-i}$
- Probability of success is at least:

$$\frac{n-2}{n} \times \frac{n-3}{n-1} \times \frac{n-4}{n-2} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{3} \ge \frac{2}{n(n-1)}$$

• Probability of success is at least  $\frac{2}{n^2}$ 

• Will succeed with probability 0.99 if we repeat  $O(n^2)$  times