CSCE 658: Randomized Algorithms

Lecture 23

Samson Zhou

Previously in the Streaming Model

- Reservoir sampling
- Heavy-hitters
 - Misra-Gries
 - CountMin
 - CountSketch
- Moment estimation
 - AMS algorithm

- Suppose we have an insertion-deletion stream of length $m = \Theta(n)$ and at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Goal: Recover the *k* nonzero coordinates and their frequencies

- Anomaly detection: Noiseless sparse recovery can be used to identify anomalies or outliers in streaming data
- By modeling normal behavior as a sparse signal, deviations from this model can be detected in real-time. This is valuable for cybersecurity, fraud detection, and monitoring network traffic for unusual patterns.

 Network traffic analysis: Noiseless sparse recovery can be applied to analyze network traffic in real-time, identifying patterns and trends, and helping in network management, intrusion detection, and quality of service (QoS) optimization

 Real-time compressive imaging: Compressive imaging techniques can be applied to streaming video or image data. By capturing and processing fewer measurements, noiseless sparse recovery can provide real-time reconstruction of high-resolution images or videos.





OTFs from smoothed image (PCA)

LL

HL

LH

HH

"Deep Orthogonal Transform Feature for Image Denoising", Shin, et. al. [2020]

 Online natural language processing (NLP): In real-time natural language processing tasks, noiseless sparse recovery can assist in extracting relevant features or patterns from streaming text data, making it useful for sentiment analysis, topic modeling, and summarization

• Suppose we have an insertion-deletion stream of length $m = \Theta(n)$

Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates

• How do we recover the vector?

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

 u_1 : "Increase f_6 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_2$$
: "Increase f_5 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_3$$
: "Increase f_2 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

 u_4 : "Increase f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_5$$
: "Increase f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_6$$
: "Increase f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_7$$
: "Increase f_2 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

 u_8 : "Increase f_8 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_9$$
: "Decrease f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{10}$$
: "Decrease f_5 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

```
u_{11}: "Increase f_1"
```

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{12}$$
: "Increase f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{13}$$
: "Decrease f_6 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{15}$$
: "Decrease f_1 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{16}$$
: "Decrease f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{17}$$
: "Decrease f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{18}$$
: "Decrease f_2 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

$$u_{19}$$
: "Decrease f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1
- What is left?

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1
- What is left?

 $f_2 = 1$

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

• Algorithm: Keep running sum of all the coordinates

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate has frequency 1

- Algorithm: Keep running sum of all the coordinates
- Write each insertion to coordinate $c_i \in [n]$ as $u_i \leftarrow (s_i = 1, c_i)$
- Write each deletion to coordinate $c_i \in [n]$ as $u_i \leftarrow (s_i = -1, c_i)$

- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1
- Algorithm: Keep running sum of all the coordinates
- Write each insertion to coordinate $c_i \in [n]$ as $u_i \leftarrow (s_i = 1, c_i)$
- Write each deletion to coordinate $c_i \in [n]$ as $u_i \leftarrow (s_i = -1, c_i)$
- Running sum of coordinates $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = j$

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

• Algorithm: Keep running sum of all the coordinates?

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

• Algorithm: Keep running sum of all the coordinates AND a different linear combination of all the coordinates
- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

- Algorithm: Keep running sum of all the coordinates AND a different linear combination of all the coordinates
- Keep $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i$ and $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2$

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

u_1 : "Increase f_6 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_2$$
: "Increase f_5 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

u_3 : "Increase f_2 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

 u_4 : "Increase f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

u_5 : "Increase f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

u_6 : "Increase f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

u_7 : "Increase f_2 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

u_8 : "Increase f_8 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

 u_9 : "Decrease f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{10}$$
: "Decrease f_5 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

u_{11} : "Increase f_1 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{12}$$
: "Increase f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{13}$$
: "Decrease f_6 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{14}$$
: "Decrease f_8 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{15}$$
: "Decrease f_1 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{16}$$
: "Decrease f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{17}$$
: "Decrease f_3 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1

$$u_{18}$$
: "Decrease f_7 "

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1
- What is the state of our algorithm?

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1
- What is the state of our algorithm?

$$\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = 4 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2 = 8$$

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1
- What is the state of our algorithm?

$$\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = 4 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2 = 8$$

• We know $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = j \cdot f_j$ and $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2 = j^2 \cdot f_j$

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1
- What is the state of our algorithm?

$$\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = 4 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2 = 8$$

- We know $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = j \cdot f_j$ and $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2 = j \cdot f_j^2$
- So $f_j = 2$ and j = 2

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Suppose k = 1 and we are promised the coordinate *j* has frequency 1
- What is the state of our algorithm?

$$\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = 4 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2 = 8$$

- We know $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i = j \cdot f_j$ and $\sum_{i \in [m]} s_i c_i^2 = j \cdot f_j^2$
- So $f_j = 2$ and j = 2

f_1	f_2	f_3	f_4	f_5	f_6	f_7
0	2	0	0	0	0	0

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Algorithm for k = 1: Keep running sum of all the coordinates AND a different linear combination of all the coordinates

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Algorithm: Keep 2k running sum of different linear combinations of all the coordinates
- We have 2k equations and 2k unknown variables
- Correctness can be shown (not quite linear algebra)

- Suppose at the end we are promised there are at most k nonzero coordinates
- Algorithm: Keep 2k running sum of different linear combinations of all the coordinates
- Space: O(k) words of space

Distinct Elements (F_0 Estimation)

- Given a set *S* of *m* elements from [n], let f_i be the frequency of element *i*. (How often it appears)
- Let F_0 be the frequency moment of the vector:

 $F_0 = |\{i : f_i \neq 0\}|$

• Goal: Given a set *S* of *m* elements from [n] and an accuracy parameter ε , output a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to F_0
















































































• How many different fruits left in fruit basket?

• How many different fruits left in fruit basket? 8

• Ad allocation: Distinct IP addresses clicking an ad



• Traffic monitoring: Distinct IP addresses visiting a site or number of unique search engine queries



Computational biology: Counting number of distinct motifs in DNA sequencing



 Sequence motifs are short, recurring patterns in DNA that are presumed to have a biological function

• Let *S* be a set of *N* numbers

• Suppose we form set S' by sampling each item of S with probability $\frac{1}{2}$

• How many numbers are in *S*'?

• Let *S* be a set of *N* numbers

• Suppose we form set S' by sampling each item of S with probability $\frac{1}{2}$

• Can we use S' to get a good estimate of N?

• Let *S* be a set of *N* numbers, suppose we form set *S'* by sampling each item of *S* with probability $\frac{1}{2}$

• We have
$$E[|S'|] = \frac{N}{2}$$
 and $Var[|S'|] \le \frac{N}{2}$

- What can we say about $\Pr\left[|S'| \frac{N}{2}| \ge t\right]$?
- By Chebyshev's inequality, we have $\Pr\left[\left||S'| \frac{N}{2}\right| \ge 100\sqrt{N}\right] \le \frac{1}{10}$

- What can we say about $\Pr\left[|S'| \frac{N}{2}| \ge t\right]$?
- By Chebyshev's inequality, we have $\Pr\left[\left||S'| \frac{N}{2}\right| \ge 100\sqrt{N}\right] \le \frac{1}{10}$
- With probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

$$\frac{N}{2} - 100\sqrt{N} \le |S'| \le \frac{N}{2} + 100\sqrt{N}$$

• With probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

$$\frac{N}{2} - 100\sqrt{N} \le |S'| \le \frac{N}{2} + 100\sqrt{N}$$

• Thus with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

 $N - 200\sqrt{N} \le 2|S'| \le N + 200\sqrt{N}$

• With probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

 $N - 200\sqrt{N} \le 2|S'| \le N + 200\sqrt{N}$

• If $200\sqrt{N} \le \frac{N}{100}$, then $N - 200\sqrt{N} \le 2|S'| \le N + 200\sqrt{N}$ implies

 $0.99N \le 2|S'| \le 1.01N$

Very good approximation to N

• What algorithm does this suggest?

• What algorithm does this suggest?

- Sample each item of the *universe* with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, acquire new universe U'
- Let S' be the items in the data stream that are in U'
- Output 2|*S*'|

- Sample each item of the *universe* with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, acquire new universe U'
- Let S' be the items in the data stream that are in U'
- Output 2|*S*'|

• What's the problem with this approach?

• Let *S* be a set of *N* numbers

• Suppose we form set S' by sampling each item of S with probability $\frac{1}{2}$

• Can we use S' to get a good estimate of N?

• Let *S* be a set of *N* numbers

• Suppose we form set S' by sampling each item of S with probabilit p

• Can we use S' to get a good estimate of N?

• Let *S* be a set of *N* numbers, suppose we form set *S'* by sampling each item of *S* with probability $\frac{1}{2}$

• We have
$$E[|S'|] = \frac{N}{2}$$
 and $Var[|S'|] \le \frac{N}{2}$

 Let S be a set of N numbers, suppose we form set S' by sampling each item of S with probability p

• We have E[|S'|] = pN and $Var[|S'|] \le pN$

• (S' is formed by sampling each item of S with probability $\frac{1}{2}$) With probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

$$\frac{N}{2} - 100\sqrt{N} \le |S'| \le \frac{N}{2} + 100\sqrt{N}$$

• Thus with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

 $N - 200\sqrt{N} \le 2|S'| \le N + 200\sqrt{N}$

• (S' is formed by sampling each item of S with probability p) With probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

 $pN - 100\sqrt{pN} \le |S'| \le pN + 100\sqrt{pN}$

• Thus with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

$$N - \frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \frac{1}{p}|S'| \le N + \frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N}$$

• (S' is formed by sampling each item of S with probability p) With probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$,

$$N - \frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \frac{1}{p}|S'| \le N + \frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N}$$

• If
$$\frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \varepsilon N$$
, then $N - \frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \frac{1}{p}|S'| \le N + \frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N}$ implies
 $(1 - \varepsilon)N \le \frac{1}{p}|S'| \le (1 + \varepsilon)N$

• In other words, with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$, we have that $\frac{1}{p}|S'|$ is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of N

• What is **p**?

• In other words, with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$, we have that $\frac{1}{p}|S'|$ is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of N

- What is **p**?
- Recall, we required $\frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \varepsilon N$
• In other words, with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$, we have that $\frac{1}{p}|S'|$ is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of N

- What is *p*?
- Recall, we required $\frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \varepsilon N$, so $p \ge \frac{1000}{\varepsilon^2 N}$

• In other words, with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$, we have that $\frac{1}{p}|S'|$ is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of N

- What is **p**?
- Recall, we required $\frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \varepsilon N$, so $p \ge \frac{1000}{\varepsilon^2 N}$
- What is the problem here?

• In other words, with probability at least $\frac{9}{10}$, we have that $\frac{1}{n}|S'|$ is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of N Must know N to set p, • What is **p**? but the goal is to find N! • Recall, we required $\frac{100}{\sqrt{p}}\sqrt{N} \le \varepsilon N$, so $p \ge \frac{1000}{\varepsilon^2 N}$. What is the problem here?

• Observation: We do not need $p = \frac{1000}{\epsilon^2 N}$, it is also fine to have $p = \frac{2000}{\epsilon^2 N}$

• How do we find a "good" p?

• Observation: We do not need $p = \frac{1000}{\epsilon^2 N}$, it is also fine to have $p = \frac{2000}{\epsilon^2 N}$

- How do we find a "good" p?
- What is a "good" p?

- What is a "good" p?
- Not too many samples, i.e., S' is small, but enough to find a good approximation to N
- For $p = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 N}\right)$:
 - p is large enough to find a good approximation to N
 - We have $E[|S'|] = pN = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$

- We want p such that $E[|S'|] = pN = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$
- Intuition: Try $p = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \dots$, and see which one has $\frac{1000}{\varepsilon^2} \le |S'| \le \frac{2000}{\varepsilon^2}$
- With high probability, one of these guesses will have $\frac{1000}{\epsilon^2} \le |S'| \le 2000$

• Intuition: Try $p = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \dots$, and see which one has

$$\frac{1000}{\varepsilon^2} \le |S'| \le \frac{2000}{\varepsilon^2}$$

• However, the wrong guesses will have too many samples

• Intuition: Try $p = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \dots$, and see which one has

$$\frac{1000}{\varepsilon^2} \le |S'| \le \frac{2000}{\varepsilon^2}$$

• However, the wrong guesses will have too many samples

• Fix: Dynamically changing guess for *p* and subsampling

- Algorithm: Set $U_0 = [n]$ and for each *i*, sample each element of U_{i-1} into U_i with probability $\frac{1}{2}$
- Start index i = 0 and track the number $|S \cap U_i|$ of elements of S in U_i

• If
$$|S \cap U_i| > \frac{2000}{\epsilon^2} \log n$$
, then increment $i = i + 1$

• At the end of the stream, output $2^i \cdot |S \cap U_i|$

- Algorithm: Set $U_0 = [n]$ and for each i, sample each element of U_{i-1} into U_i with probability $\frac{1}{2}$
- Start index i = 0 and track the number $|S \cap U_i|$ of elements of S in U_i

• If
$$|S \cap U_i| > \frac{2000}{\varepsilon^2} \log n$$
, then increment $i = i + 1$
• At the end of the stream, output $2^i \cdot |S \cap U_i|$
 $|S'|$

• Recall that $\frac{1}{p}|S'|$ is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of N

- $2^i \cdot |S \cap U_i|$ is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of N
- At the end of the stream, output $2^i \cdot |S \cap U_i|$

• Summary: Algorithm stores at most $\frac{2000}{\epsilon^2} \log n$ elements from the stream, uses $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log n\right)$ words of space