CSCE 689: Special Topics in Modern Algorithms for Data Science Fall 2023

Prof. Samson Zhou

Scribe: Wenjing Chen

## 1 Concentration Inequalities and Moments

**Definition.** For p > 0, the p-th **moment** of a random variable X over  $\Omega$  is:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = \sum_{x \in \Omega} Pr[X = x] \cdot x^p.$$

Examples of definitions related to moments include:

- expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  (1-st moment),
- variance  $\mathbb{E}[X \mathbb{E}[X]]^2 = \mathbb{E}[X^2] (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$  (related to 2-nd moment).

By using different moments, we can obtain different concentration inequalities. For instance, Chebyshev's inequality is proved by applying Markov's inequality on the variance of the random variable  $X - \mathbb{E}[X]$ . In particular, Chebyshev's inequality implies the Law of Large Numbers. Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be random variables that are independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Consider the sample average  $X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i$ , we have that

$$Var[X] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i} Var[X_i] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

For any fixed parameter  $\varepsilon$ , if we apply the Chebyshev's inequality on X,

$$Pr(|X - \mu| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{Var[X]}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon^2}.$$

When n goes to infinity, we have that the probability of the difference between the sample average and the mean being larger than  $\varepsilon$  becomes arbitrarily small. This implies

**Theorem 1** (Law of Large Numbers, informal). The sample average will always concentrate to the mean, given enough samples.

## 2 Practical Examples and Accuracy Boosting

Suppose we design a randomized algorithm A to estimate a hidden statistic Z of a dataset and we know  $0 < Z \le 1000$ . Each time we use the algorithm A, it outputs a number X such that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = Z$  and  $Var[X] = 100Z^2$ . Then by applying Chebyshev's inequalities, we have

$$Pr(|X - Z| > 30Z) \le \frac{Var[X]}{900Z^2} = \frac{1}{9}.$$

Since  $Z \leq 1000$ , it holds that

$$Pr(|X - Z| < 30000) = 1 - Pr(|X - Z| \ge 30000)$$
  
  $\ge 1 - Pr(|X - Z| > 30Z) = \frac{8}{9}.$ 

Then we get the additive error is at most 30000 with high probability. However, in many real-world applications, we would like to propose algorithms with small parameterizable additive error  $\varepsilon$ . How can we achieve that?

## 2.1 Accuracy Boosting

If we repeat A a total of  $\frac{10^{12}}{\varepsilon^2}$  times and take the average, then the variance of the average is  $\frac{\varepsilon^2}{10^{10}}Z^2$  and by Chebyshev's inequalities, we have

$$\Pr[|X - Z| \ge \varepsilon] \le \frac{Z^2}{10^{10}}.$$

Since  $Z \leq 1000$ , we prove that

$$Pr[|X - Z| \ge \varepsilon] \le 0.0001.$$

This instance implies the **accuracy boosting** method. To improve the accuracy of your algorithm, we can run it many times independently and take the average.

## 3 More Powerful Concentration Inequalities.

However, the concentration inequalities discussed before has limitations on the tightness of the bound. For example, suppose we flip a fair coin n = 100 times and let H be the total number of heads. Then it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[H] = 50$$
 and  $Var[H] = 25$ .

If we apply Markov's inequality, then we have

$$Pr[H \ge 60] \le 0.833.$$

If we apply Chebyshev's inequality, then we have

$$Pr[H \ge 60] \le 0.25.$$

Recall that Chebyshev's inequality is proved by applying Markov to the second moment of the random variable  $X - \mathbb{E}[X]$ , i.e.,

$$Pr[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge t] = Pr[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]|^2 \ge t^2] \le \frac{Var[X]}{t^2}.$$

By using the similar tricks on the 4-th moment, we obtain that

which is tighter than the previous bound. However, the true value of the probability is  $Pr[H \ge 60] \approx 0.0284$ . By looking at the k-th moment for sufficiently high k gives a number of very strong (and useful!) concentration inequalities with exponential tail bounds. Examples of exponential tail bounds include Chernoff bounds, Bernstein's inequality, Hoeffding's inequality, etc. Below we introduce Bernstein's inequality.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X_1,...X_n \in [-M,M]$  be independent random variables and let  $X = X_1 + ... + X_n$  have mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$Pr[|X - \mu| \ge t] \le 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2 + \frac{4}{3}Mt}}$$

Suppose M=1 and let  $t=k\sigma$ , then

$$Pr[|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{4}\right).$$

However, Chebyshev's inequality gives

$$Pr[|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma] \le \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

By comparing Bernstein's inequality and Chebyshev's inequality, we can see an exponential improvement by Bernstein's inequality. If we depict the tail bound of  $\exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{4}\right)$  across different values of k, we would get a plot that is similar to the normal random variable, which is consistent with the result of the central limit theorem.

**Theorem 3** (Stronger Central Limit Theorem, informal). The distribution of the sum of n bounded independent random variables converges to a Gaussian (normal) distribution as n goes to infinity.

The theorem is very important since many random variables can be approximated as the sum of a large number of small and roughly independent random effects. Thus, their distribution looks Gaussian by CLT.